Heat Treatment of Alumina Aerogels
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The phase conversions and morphological changes of alumina aerogel films and monoliths during heat treatment were investigated by TGA/DTA, BET, XRD, and image analysis of SEM micrographs. A preliminary model explaining the structural evolution of the film during heat treatment is proposed.

Introduction
Sol–gel processes have been used widely to obtain fibers, thin films, coatings and monoliths. Recently, using supercritical drying, we have obtained alumina aerogel monoliths and films1,2 with high porosity and special morphology, different from those of commercial aerogels films.3–4 The morphology of the films consists of fiberlike network of round chains (0.1 µm thick) and pores (0.1–0.5 µm in diameter). Formation of this microstructure was attributed to phase separation in the alumina–water–acetone system.2 Related morphologies were cited in the literature for silica and titania films prepared by quite different techniques.5–6

One of the main questions concerning the application of these aerogels is their thermal stability. The structural evolution during heat treatment may be dominated by the effects of phase transformations that often occur along with dehydration.7–8 There are several reports on the thermal treatment of alumina aerogels9–12 that demonstrate that the thermal behavior depends on phase composition and morphological parameters of the starting material (degree of crystallinity, size of crystallites, porosity, etc.). Only a few studies follow the thermal behavior of alumina aerogels.13–17 Mizushima and Hori14–16 examined the influence of different synthesis routes on surface area, phase transition, and morphology properties of alumina aerogels. Ponthieu et al.17 studied pure and yttrium-containing alumina aerogels. Little is known on the kinetics of sintering of gel films and particularly on films with this special morphology.18,19

The main goals of the present work were investigation of the phase conversions, morphological changes and thermal stability of the alumina aerogel monoliths and films, and the development of a preliminary model to explain the structural evolution of the films.

Experimental Section
The full details of monoliths/film preparation is discussed elsewhere.1,2 Gel samples with different ratio of catalyst to aluminum sec-butoxide (ASB, Aldrich, purity 97%) in the range of 0.01–0.6 mol of H+/mol of ASB (named groups a–d: a 0.01, b 0.07, c 0.2, d 0.6) were supercritically dried. The difference between the groups was that the pH of final sols before gelation was about 7 for group a, and in the range of 1–6 for groups b–d. Aerogel films were produced from sol b (which was found to be with the highest surface area after supercritical drying (SCD)1,2) on alumina substrates by exchanging the film solvent with acetone after the dip coating, followed by liquid CO2 supercritical drying.1,2 After SCD both monoliths and films were stored in sealed vials in a desiccator under vacuum at room temperature. Simultaneous thermogravimetric and differential thermal analysis (TGA/DTA) was performed on alumina monoliths at a heating rate of 5 °C min to 1300 °C under flowing air/argon using a Setaram TGA-92 analyzer. Heat treatment of films on alumina substrates was done in air by the following thermal profile: a slow heating step (70 °C h−1 up to 400 °C), a faster step (200 °C h−1 up to 800–1300 °C), a soak at the high temperature for an hour, and finally cooling at 250 °C h−1 to room temperature. All TGA/DTA and heat-treatment experiments were repeated at least twice. Surface areas of the aerogels were determined by nitrogen adsorption at 77 K using Micromeritics, Flowsorb II-2300. X-ray diffraction (XRD) Cu Kα radiation was done using a Siemens 5000 instrument. SEM micrographs were taken with a Jeol J EOL-5400. Image analysis was performed on a Power-Macintosh Model 6100 computer, with the public domain NIH Image software (written by Wayne Rasband at the National Institute of Health (NIH)).

Results and Discussion
Thermal Treatment of Monoliths. Before any heat treatment, boehmite was identified in the bulk...
samples of all aerogels. This is shown in the lower diffractogram in Figure 1, where data for group b are presented.

The TGA/DTA data of monoliths of group a are presented in Figure 2a, while typical data of groups b–d are seen in Figure 2b. The thermogram profiles of all groups could be divided into three main regions: (1) 20–150 °C, (2) 150–600 °C, and (3) 600–1300 °C, that are in general also typical to the thermal behavior of xerogels.7–12 The first region (see Figure 2) is characterized by an endo effect at 70–130 °C attributed to evolution of physically adsorbed water accompanied with calculated weight loss of 7–12%. The calculation of weight loss on the next regions was normalized to the weight of samples free from desorbed water.

The second region (see Figure 2) includes the boehmite–alumina conversion and presented on the thermograms by a weak endo effect in a wide temperature range of 150–600 °C accompanied with a weight loss of 15–28%. This weight loss is a result of the following reactions:

$$2\text{AlOOH} \rightarrow \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$$ for group a \( \text{(1)} \)

theoretical weight loss 17.7

$$2\text{AlOOH} \cdot 1.7\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 2.7\text{H}_2\text{O}$$ for groups b–d \( \text{(2)} \)

theoretical weight loss 26.8%

Experimental weight loss for group a was 17% and for groups b–d 24.1–26.1%. Thus, although XRD for all groups is similar, from TGA data we found a significant difference between aerogel group a (prepared at the lowest H+/ASB ratio (0.01)), and groups b–d: aerogel a is boehmite and the rest are pseudoboehmite. The existence of boehmite and pseudoboehmite of alumina xerogels is also known to be depended on the pH during gelation. Below pH = 3 Al\(^{3+}\) has a coordination number of 6, with increasing pH the coordination number decreases.18,20

We suggest that the higher coordination number allows the formation of the phase with larger amount of chemically bonded water. Therefore the more acidic gels groups b–d included the pseudoboehmite phase. XRD data of all aerogels heated at 600 °C (Figure 1) shows that the final phase obtained by the above conversions (eq 1,2) is η-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) identified according to ref 21.

The third region (∼600–1300 °C) seen in Figures 2 includes phase transitions η/θ and θ/α of alumina accompanied by negligible weight loss. Only the θ/α-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) is followed by exopeak at ∼1220–1240 °C seen on the thermograms (Figure 2). XRD data show that the main phases in the ranges of 600–1100 and 1100–1200 °C are η-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) and θ-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), respectively (Figure 1). In conclusion, the conversions of the prepared alumina aerogels under heat treatment can be summarized as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(group a) boehmite} & \rightarrow \eta \rightarrow \theta \rightarrow \alpha\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3 \\
\text{(groups b–d) pseudoboehmite} & \rightarrow \\
& \eta \rightarrow \theta \rightarrow \alpha\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3 \text{ (3)}
\end{align*}
\]

BET measurements (see Figure 3) show that up to ∼800 °C the surface area (SA) of monoliths remained ∼350 m\(^2\)/g. During this stage the change of SA observed can be a result of two possible opposite phenomena. On one hand, dehydration of hydroxides promotes shrinkage (due to increase of tension in the pores) and as a result a decrease in surface area. On the other hand, condensation of protons and hydroxyls between boehmite layers removes half of the oxygens from the layers causing rearrangement and leading to the phase conversion boehmite/η-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) (spinel structure). The phase conversion is followed by a decrease in the size of the

\[\text{AlOOH} \rightarrow \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \text{ (1)}\]

\[2\text{AlOOH} \rightarrow \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \text{ (2)}\]

\[2\text{AlOOH} \rightarrow \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \text{ (3)}\]

---

(20) Yoldas, B. E. J. Mater. Sci. 1975, 10, 1856.
crystallites and a corresponding increase in SA. This phenomenon has been observed earlier for boehmite/γ-
Al₂O₃ conversion in aerogels.²² Consequently the surface area changes are small. From ~800 °C to ~1100
°C, according to XRD, γ-Al₂O₃ is identified, and SA decreases to ~180 m²/g. Within the interval ~1100–
1220 °C, the phase transition occurs along with the loss of transparency and SA decreases to ~100 m²/g. Fi-
nally, above 1220 °C, the transition indicated by TGA/DTA and XRD. This transition involves a reorganiza-
tion of the oxygens into a denser, hexagonal close-packed configuration by a nucleation-and-growth
process.²³ As a result a drastic fall of the SA to a low level of ~10–40 m²/g is noticed. Although the pH
affects the SA of the starting materials,¹ it does not influence their behavior under heat treatment up to
about 1200 °C. However, after the transition to α-Al₂O₃, the lower pH aerogels maintain much higher SA.
The influence of the pH at the gel point on the aerogels thermal stability needs further research.

Thermal Treatment of Films. Aerogels films were
synthesized from sol b, which was found to have the highest SA after supercritical drying.¹ Although the pH
and BET measurements were carried out on bulk monolithic samples. Due to experimental difficulties,
these measurements could not be repeated with the dip-coated film samples. However, TEM micrographs of
film and bulk samples (from sol b) reveals that the nanostructure is identical in both cases.¹² Since the phase transition occurs at the nanoscale level, we believe that XRD results represents both bulk and film samples. The fiberlike morphology of the films retained up to ~1000 °C (see Figure 4a–c). The average macroporosity of the films estimated by image analysis is around 50%. Figure 4d describes the changes in the surface porosity of the films. The deformation of a thin film is usually constrained by a substrate.¹⁸ In our case the increase of surface porosity as measured by the image analysis of SEM micrographs at low temperatures is most likely due to a decrease of the fiber radius accompanying the dehydration of hydroxides. At these temperatures no decrease of the overall film dimensions is observed, thus as a first approximation, the dependence of the surface porosity on fiber diameter is given by the relation below (see Figure 5):

\[ P = 1 - \frac{1}{1 - P_0}^{1/1+I} \]

where \( P \) is the surface porosity, \( S \) is a representative arbitrary area, and \( r \) and \( l \) are the average fiber radius and the overall fiber network length measured at \( S \) respectively (\( r \) and \( l \) are shown in Figure 5). Regarding the fiber network as consisting of spherical particles (0.1 μm in diameter) requires that \( l \propto r^I \) through the densification process, where \( I \) is defined as an isotropic shrinkage factor, \( 0 \leq I \leq 1 \). Equation 4 then becomes

\[ P = 1 - K r^I / S \]

where \( K \) is a proportionality constant. In this development we assume that anisotropy can occur only along the \( I \) axis. Moreover, we assume similar shrinkage mechanisms in the free fiber and at the fiber intersections. The change in surface porosity with respect to variations in fiber radius is then directly obtained from

\[ \left( \frac{1 - P}{1 - P_0} \right)^{1/1+I} = \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right)^I \]

where \( P_0 \) and \( r_0 \) are the initial parameters of the film. Isotropic shrinkage of the particles is expected if the fiber network is flexible enough to decrease its length and curvature in order to decrease the axial strain developed during the shrinkage process. In this case \( I \propto r \) and \( I = 1 \). However, if the fiber network constrained between the intersections does not have enough mobility, the axial strain would cause the spherical particles to deform and to shrink anisotropically. At the extreme condition of completely anisotropic shrinkage \( I \) is essentially unchanged through the densification process and \( I = 0 \). Taking the surface porosity values of 0.48 at 25 °C and 0.62 at 800 °C (Figure 4d), we obtain the ratios \( r/r_0 = 0.86 \) and \( r/r_0 = 0.73 \) for the isotropic and anisotropic cases, respectively. The predicted shrinkage of 14–27% in fiber radius cannot be observed by our image analysis technique. This result reinforces our observation that in this stage of thermal treatment a decrease of the fiber radius occurs accompanying the dehydration of hydroxides. Titanium films with similar morphology also maintained their open structure without significant change after heat treatment up to ~850 °C.⁵,⁶

At the high-temperature interval, shrinkage of the films and drastic changes in the density of the network occur. Here the shrinkage occurs in the plane of the substrate. It is interesting to compare our results with Scherer's model for a viscous porous film where a layer is represented by tubes with their axes normal to the substrate. His model predicts a shrinkage in the plane tangent to the surface and a decrease in the inner diameter of the tube in the case of anisotropic sintering. His results are generally in agreement with the behavior of the film in the high-temperature region.

Conclusions

The phase conversions, morphological changes, and thermal stability of alumina aerogels during heat treat-
ment were investigated. The initial neutral and acidic bulk aerogels were identified as boehmite and pseudoboehmite, respectively. The phase conversions in the
range 20–1300 °C were as follows: boehmite/pseudo-boehmite → η-Al₂O₃ → θ-Al₂O₃ → α-Al₂O₃. Up to ∼800 °C the surface area (SA) of monoliths remained ∼350 m²/g. From ∼800 to ∼1100 °C, we observed η-Al₂O₃ by XRD, and SA decreased to ∼180 m²/g. Within the interval ∼1100–1220 °C the η/θ phase transition along with particle growth occurred and SA dropped to ∼100 m²/g. Finally, above 1220 °C θ/α occurs and as a result a drastic fall of the SA to a low level of ∼10–40 m²/g was noticed. The decrease of SA of monoliths was shown to depend on the combined effect of dehydration and condensation. Although the pH affects the SA of the starting materials,¹ it does not influence their behavior under heat treatment up to about 1200 °C; however, after the transition to α-Al₂O₃ the lower pH aerogels maintain much higher SA.

The unique initial morphology of the films as well-the transparency of the monoliths were retained up to ∼1000 °C. A geometrical model was developed to explain the structural evolution of the film during the early stages of heat treatment.
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Figure 4. Effect of heat treatment on alumina aerogel film morphology: (a) before treatment, (b) after 800 °C, (c) after 1250 °C, and (d) evolution of surface area porosity from image analysis.

Figure 5. Film model parameters.